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Abstract
The relatively low status of teaching as a profession is often given as a factor contributing to the difficulty of recruiting teachers, the middling performance of American students on international assessments, and the well-documented decline in the relative academic ability of teachers through the 1990s. Since the turn of the 21st century, however, a number of federal, state, and local teacher accountability policies have been implemented toward improving teacher quality over the objections of some who argue the policies will decrease quality. In this paper we analyze 25 years of data on the academic ability of teachers in New York State and document that since 1999 the academic ability of both individuals certified and those entering teaching has steadily increased. These gains are widespread and have resulted in a substantial narrowing of the differences in teacher academic ability between high and low poverty schools and between white and minority teachers. We interpret these gains as evidence that the status of teaching is improving.
1. Introduction

American society has lamented, debated, and joked about teachers for well over a century. In some circles, it has become conventional wisdom that U.S. teachers embody Shaw’s (1903) quotation: “He who can, does. He who cannot, teaches.” While teachers may be well respected individually (Bushaw & Lopez, 2011), teaching as a profession is of lower status than other skilled and licensed professions such as medicine, law, and engineering (Ingersoll & Mitchell, 2011). This lower status feeds off of and reinforces a sense that anyone can be a teacher whereas only the brightest can be a doctor, lawyer, or engineer. Educational leaders have long been concerned about the professional standing of teachers due to the effect of teaching’s lower status on who chooses to enter the profession, the subsequent quality of teaching, and student achievement (Allen, 1926). Many attribute the middling standing of U.S. students on international achievement tests relative to countries like Finland and Singapore (OECD, 2014) in part to the lower status of teaching in the U.S. (Paine & Schleicher, 2011; Ripley, 2013; Schleicher, 2012).

The various federal and state teacher accountability policies implemented over the last fifteen years intended to improve the quality of entering teachers may have raised the profession’s status. Policies that increase the requirements to become a teacher, proponents assert, will emphasize the specialized knowledge and skills required to deliver high quality instruction and ensure that only well-prepared, highly abled individuals enter the classroom, thereby raising the quality of the teacher workforce (American Federation of Teachers, 2000; The National Commission on Teaching & America’s Future, 1996). Others, however, argue these policies will have the opposite impact because they increase the barriers, or costs, of entry causing high ability individuals to opt out of teaching (Angrist & Guryan, 2008; Ballou & Podgursky, 1998). With the ultimate goal of increasing teacher quality, New York State (NYS) implemented many such policies targeting the selection of individuals into the profession.
beginning in the late 1990s. In this paper we present findings from an analysis of whether this coincided with changes in the academic abilities of incoming teachers, an indicator of the status of the teaching profession.

Significant attention has been given to how to increase the status (or professionalism) of teaching. In addition to focusing on the licensing and training of teachers (Darling-Hammond, Wise, & Klein, 1999), proposals to raise the status of teaching have also identified issues of compensation and working conditions (Firestone & Bader, 1992; Odden & Kelley, 2001) and the distribution of power, authority, and control over issues such as curriculum, evaluation, and budgets (Ingersoll, 2003). The extent to which these proposals can raise the status of teaching rests with how they influence the three dimensions of status: occupational prestige, occupational status, and occupational esteem (Hoyle, 2001). To raise teaching’s occupational prestige, the general public’s perception of teaching must increase relative to other professions. Teaching’s occupational status will increase as particular knowledgeable groups such as other professionals, who are themselves held in high regard by the general public, give teaching their stamp of approval as a profession rather than merely an occupation. Finally, teaching’s occupational esteem will improve as the public’s perception of teacher’s job performance, specifically, the dedication, competence, and care teachers exhibit, grows more favorable.

Increases in teachers’ academic ability signals the status of teaching may be improving (Barber & Mourshed, 2007; Hargreaves, 2009; Paine & Schleicher, 2011) as it is an indicator that teaching’s occupational prestige and esteem are on the rise. As more high-ability individuals choose teaching over other professions, more people will view teaching as an occupation for those who do rather than those that can’t, turning Shaw’s quotation on its head, and raising the occupational prestige of teaching. To the extent greater academic ability assists teachers with their job performance, recruiting more academically abled individuals to teaching will also raise teaching’s occupational esteem. Academic or cognitive ability is one of the few observable teacher characteristics prior research has shown to be
positively and consistently (though not strongly) associated with student achievement (Clotfleter, Ladd, & Vigdor, 2007; Ehrenberg & Brewer, 1995; Greenwald, Hedges, & Laine, 1996; Hanushek, 1986). Given the central role recruitment plays in determining the composition of the teacher labor force, an understanding of trends in the academic ability of individuals entering the profession is important.

Extant research makes clear that the average academic abilities of individuals who entered teaching in the U.S. were not high relative to other professions and consistently fell over the last third of the 20th century. Several analyses conducted prior to 2000 document cross-sectional evidence that college graduates with higher SAT or ACT scores are less likely to enter teaching (Goldhaber & Liu, 2003; Hanushek & Pace, 1995; Manski, 1985; Vegas, Murnane & Willett, 2001). More recently longitudinal analyses document the decline in the cognitive abilities of entering teachers from 1960 through 2000 (Bacolod, 2007; Corcoran, Evans, & Schwab, 2004; Podgursky, Monroe, & Watson, 2004). For example, Corcoran et al. (2004) find that in the 1960s, women in the top ability decile were almost twice as likely to enter teaching as the average high school graduate; by 2000, they were only slightly more likely to teach than the average graduate. Podgursky et al. (2004) examine the ACT scores of Missouri novice teachers from 1990 to 2000 and show teachers’ scores remain relatively constant, but decline relative to the average high school senior over this period. Bacolod (2007) and Murnane and colleagues (1991), examining somewhat different time periods, produce similar evidence. For example, among college graduates born between 1941 and 1945, and thus entering the labor force in the mid-1960s, Bacolod finds that approximately 50 percent of women in the top quintile of test scores became teachers, while for those born in 1963 and 1964 (labor-force entry in mid-1980s) this figure declined to under 20 percent. Each of these descriptive studies ascribes these changes to the shift in the norms and expectations of women in the workforce and the professions open to them. They perceived teaching as a comparatively lower status profession.
The abilities of teachers have received far greater scrutiny since the late 1990s, the result of increased emphasis on student achievement in federal, state, and local policy and greater recognition of the key role of teachers (Figlio & Loeb, 2011). Many states have increased teacher licensure requirements intending to prevent the least qualified individuals from entering the profession. The federal government as part of No Child Left Behind (NCLB) has required that school districts receiving Title I funds ensure that all teachers of any core academic subjects meet the Highly Qualified Teacher (HQT) provision starting with academic year 2006-07. To be highly qualified, a teacher must hold a bachelor’s degree, be fully state-certified, and demonstrate competency in the core subject(s) taught by earning a major or equivalent in the subject or passing a subject-matter test (Loeb & Miller, 2009). In addition to increasing teacher quality overall, many of these policies also seek a more equitable distribution of teacher quality such that it is no longer the case that teachers in some schools, especially difficult-to-staff high-poverty schools, are lower quality (as defined by these policies) than teachers in other schools.

With these changes in mind, Goldhaber and Walch (2013) examine whether the academic ability of novice teachers increased following the introduction of NCLB using three Baccalaureate and Beyond (B&B) survey waves. They find that the average SAT percentile ranking of teachers fell from 45 in 1994 to 42 in 2001 but increased to 50 by 2009. Goldhaber and Walch also find that prior to 2009 there was a strong negative relationship between academic ability and the decision to apply to teach. In 2009 this relationship was insignificant, suggesting a greater interest in teaching among more academically able college students than in the past. This analysis is a step forward in understanding recent changes in the academic abilities of entering teachers, but data limitations leave a number of questions unanswered. First, since B&B only has one wave of data following NCLB, it is not possible to infer post-NCLB trends. Second, because B&B is a relatively small sample of entering teachers, it cannot provide detailed
information on how shifts in teacher characteristics varied across different types of schools and teachers, which is important for understanding the potential equity effects of recent shifts.

In this paper we document how the relationship between academic ability and the decision to become a teacher changed over the 25 years between 1985-86 and 2009-10 using rich annual teacher-level data which includes SAT scores (an important albeit imperfect measure of academic ability) and a number of other attributes for all public school teachers in NYS from 1986 to 2010. These data, while specific to one state, provide many advantages over the nationally-representative data used in the prior literature. For instance, we are better positioned to assess the impact of NCLB given we have many more than one post-NCLB observation. Also, with data on the population of NYS public school teachers rather than a sample as well as data on what and where they teach, we are able to conduct an in-depth analysis into potential variation in trends in academic ability. NYS, with its roughly 700 districts and its racial, economic, and geographic diversity, make it a very important state in which to explore changes in the relationship between academic ability and the decision to become a teacher.

We find that the academic ability of both individuals certified and those entering teaching has increased since 1999, with the increase much larger for those hired to teach (24 percent of a standard deviation increase in SAT scores). This gain largely reflects a substantial increase in the portion of teachers drawn from the top third of the SAT distribution. These gains are signals that the status of teaching may be improving, particularly among those making early career choices. To assess the degree to which these improvements are widespread, as envisioned by many policies, we explore whether these improvements differ across labor markets, subjects, and grade levels as well as with teacher and school characteristics. We find broad-based improvements and, in particular, a substantial narrowing of the differences in average teacher academic ability between high and low poverty schools and between white and minority teachers. Given space constraints, we have placed supplemental, though important,
information on our analytic sample of teachers and additional results in an appendix and reference it throughout the text below.

2. Background

Regulations governing teacher preparation and certification in NYS changed substantially beginning in 1998 with the release of the Board of Regents’ new teaching policy *Teaching to Higher Standards: New York’s Commitment* (The NYS Board of Regents and The NYS Education Department, 1998). The policy document laid out a series of reforms designed to recruit, train, and retain the high quality teachers needed to help students meet the higher standards of achievement adopted several years earlier. The timeline in Exhibit 1 summarizes this and subsequent policy changes intended to increase the requirements needed to teach in NYS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Policy Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7/1998</td>
<td>NYS Board of Regents enacted a new teaching policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/2000</td>
<td>Regents approve the first alternative teacher certification program.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/2001</td>
<td>All teacher education programs reviewed and reregistered.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/2003</td>
<td>Temporary teaching licenses eliminated with few exceptions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/2004</td>
<td>First- and second-stage licenses given new requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/2006</td>
<td>All preparation programs required to be accredited.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EXHIBIT 1. Timeline of teacher accountability policies in New York State
The initial focus was on strengthening teacher preparation through new coursework and accreditation requirements. Coursework requirements for certification were increased to include the completion of a broad core of courses in liberal arts and sciences, a pedagogical core, a major or equivalent in the certification subject area, and 100 hours of diverse field experiences. In 2000 and 2001 the State Education Department conducted re-registration reviews of all 3,493 preparation programs in 110 institutions. Before being re-registered 30 percent of the programs were required to make additional changes and three percent were denied re-registration (McGivern, 2004). Continuing state approval required that at least 80 percent of a program’s completers pass at least one of the state’s certification exams and receive accreditation no later than the end of 2006 by the Council for the Accreditation of Educator Programs or the Regents.

The Regents set the goal that by February 2009 everyone entering the state’s teacher labor force will have successfully completed a NYS-approved teacher preparation program (or a comparable program in another state). Toward this goal, they phased out Individual Evaluation (transcript review), a certification pathway commonly taken by teachers in hard-to-staff subject. Regulations were also changed to allow for alternative preparation programs targeting individuals who already possess a bachelor’s degree with at least 30 credits in the certification subject, with the first program approved in July 2000. By 2010 there were 24 such programs, primarily in the New York City (NYC) region.

Concurrent with the regulatory changes pertaining to teacher preparation, substantial changes were made to the certification system. Starting with the 2003-04 school year, emergency and temporary licenses were discontinued, thus requiring all teachers to be fully certified. In February 2004 the requirements for both first- and second-stage licensure were ratcheted up. To earn the first-stage Initial Certificate applicants had to pass three certification exams, including the relevant Content Specialty Test(s) which previously was required for the second-stage license. To continue teaching, those having initial certification must obtain the second-stage Professional Certificate within five years, two
requirements being that they are mentored by an experienced teacher in their first year and earn a master’s degree. The second-stage license was change from a lifetime license to one renewable every five years conditional on having completed 175 hours of professional development.

New York initiated these efforts almost four years before the federal HQT provision was authorized as part of NCLB in January 2002. Many of the changes in New York’s certification requirements announced in 1998 ensured new teachers would be deemed HQT. In 2004-05, 92.1 percent of elementary and 93.1 percent of secondary core academic classes in New York were taught by HQTs. In 2009-10, these statistics had improved to 98.6 and 97.0 percent, respectively (USDOE, 2005 and 2010). Almost all this improvement occurred in high poverty schools where the percentages increased from 82.0 to 96.9 percent in elementary schools and from 80.0 to 91.8 percent in secondary schools (USDOE, 2005 and 2010). The rates at low poverty schools increased roughly 2 to 3 percentage points to over 99 percent.

Some of these policies had much greater impacts on hiring in some districts than others due to differences in pre-reform district hiring practices and applicant pools. A good example is the combined effects of eliminating emergency and temporary licenses and the introduction of alternative certification. As detailed elsewhere (Boyd, Lankford, Loeb, Rockoff, & Wyckoff, 2008), prior to 2001, about 50 percent of all new teachers in NYC had temporary licenses. On average these teachers had lower qualifications on measures such as undergraduate college selectivity and SAT scores than did certified teachers. To replace the roughly 2,500 temporary-licensed teachers NYC had been hiring each year, the NYC Department of Education established its own alternative certification program, the NYC Teaching Fellows. Admission to the program is very competitive: teaching fellows have qualifications that substantially exceed those of the temporarily licensed teachers they effectively replaced as well as traditionally prepared teachers. More recently, alternative certificate programs have opened in other regions of the state.
3. Data and Methods

Our outcome measures are the math and reading SAT scores of individuals who completed certification to teach in NYS, which made them eligible to be hired, and individuals who eventually were hired to teach in NYS public schools from 1985-86 through 2009-10. We benchmark teachers’ SAT scores against the distribution of SAT scores for all SAT takers enrolled in a public school in NYS between 1979-80 and 2007-08. Given its wide-spread use and sound psychometric properties, the SAT provides useful insight into ability although it may systematically misreport the ability of specific population subgroups owing to its high stakes use in college admissions raises concerns (Steele & Aronson, 1995). We therefore also explore the competitiveness of the undergraduate institutions teachers attended (i.e., the Barron’s ratings).

The remaining data come from the NYS Education Department which allow us to link test-takers to their high school and community characteristics: licensure files list all certification areas in which an individual has been certified to teach, personnel files provide course-level assignments for all public school teachers, and school files provide characteristics of all public schools.\(^1\) When individuals are found in multiple databases they are linked using a unique identifier. We observe 220,332 individuals receiving their first entry-level certificate and 151,747 early career teachers placed in their first teaching position.\(^2\)

Much of our analysis examines trends in SAT scores standardized by test-taking year. Thus we are examining whether those certified to teach and those hired to teach are drawn from different portions of the SAT distribution over time. Our use of standardized rather than raw SAT scores allows us to compare these two groups to all SAT-takers in the same high school cohorts directly using a single

---

\(^1\) School characteristics for 2009-10 are pulled from the Common Core of Data.

\(^2\) Entry-level certificates include the Initial certificate, the Transitional certificate (for persons participating in an alternative preparation program), and emergency/temporary licenses. Entering teachers include all persons with an entry-level certificate observed in their first teaching assignment plus any first-year teacher not, for whatever reason, observed in the certificate data (1.6 percent of all entering teachers). We exclude from both groups teaching assistants, adult education teachers, coaches, and non-classroom administrators. Additional information on the analytic sample is included in the appendix.
statistic. It should be noted that the story of how SAT scores have changed over time changes little when we use raw scores (see footnote 7). We group certified individuals and entering teachers into cohorts by the year they earned their first entry-level certificate.\(^3\)

An important consideration is whether our findings are distorted by compositional changes over time. If the proportion of students taking the SAT increased and the “new” test-takers on average had lower scores, then those individuals certified to teach as well as those entering teaching could have relatively higher SAT scores even if they were no more able than those from earlier cohorts. However, this concern appears unwarranted. Annual mean combined math and verbal SAT scores for all test takers show no consistent pattern over the 1980 to 2008 period and never deviate from the grand mean across all years by more than 11 combined SAT points or about 5 percent of a standard deviation (appendix Figure A1). Likewise, we observe only a modest increase in the variance of combined SAT scores over the period. These trends provide evidence that changes we might observe in the percentile rankings of teachers are unlikely to be an artifact of changes in the overall composition of our SAT sample.

In a typical year we observe SAT scores for somewhat more than 50 percent of all individuals who are initially certified or employed as teachers in NYS public schools (appendix Table A1).\(^4\) SAT scores are missing for three categories of individuals: i) those enrolled in NYS private schools and taking the SAT in 2002 or later, ii) those taking the test outside of NYS, and iii) persons not taking the SAT. This SAT-score-missingness will not pose a problem if each of these three categories of individuals are either a small share of the full populations (certified individuals, entering teachers, and their high school peers) or the unobserved trends in their academic abilities are consistent with the trends in the academic

\(^3\) Throughout our analysis, unless noted otherwise, we report years as the spring of the academic year in which an individual received her first entry-level certification, which is typically 5 years following taking of the SAT exam and 1 year prior to when most teachers first become employed as a teacher. Entering teachers not observed in the certification data are assigned the certification cohort one year prior to their first year as a teacher.

\(^4\) We observe SAT scores for more than half of certified individuals in 13 of the 25 cohort and for entering teachers in 16 of the 25 cohorts.
abilities of those for whom we have SAT scores. Our exploration of the missingness leaves us confident the trends in academic abilities of our analytic sample are representative of the full population, a full treatment of which is provided in the appendix. First, we rerun our main analysis using a sample restricted to all NYS students taking the SAT between 1980 and 2001, a period over which we have SAT scores from both NYS public and private schools. The results show we are somewhat underestimating the change in academic ability as a result of excluding individuals who attended NYS private schools when taking the SAT (appendix Figures A3 and A4). Second, in the appendix we report results from an analysis of an alternative measure of academic ability – the selectivity of the colleges attended (Barron’s ratings) by those certified to teach and those entering teaching. This is useful because our college-selectivity measure has substantially fewer missing values (roughly 12 percent) and a pattern of missing data that is quite different from that for SAT scores. Most important, college selectivity is both a direct measure of academic ability, a useful proxy for missing SAT scores, and may pick up variation in individuals’ academic ability not captured by SAT scores. The trends in college selectivity among certified individuals and entering teachers between those with SAT scores and those missing SAT scores for any reason are very similar and indicate we are likely underestimating the increase in academic abilities after 2002 (appendix Figure A5).

4. Results

4.1. How has the academic ability of entering teachers changed?

We find that the academic ability of individuals who were certified or entered teaching declined relative to the overall distribution of SAT scores from 1986 through 1999, as shown in Figure 1.\(^5\) This decline is consistent with prior research employing a variety of national samples along with a variety of

---

\(^5\) In a typical cohort about 70 percent of all individuals receiving certification eventually teach in a NYS public school.
measures of academic and cognitive ability. The trend is reversed after 1999 with those receiving certification and those entering teaching improving substantially. The overall patterns of the relative abilities of those certified and those entering teaching are similar. However, these trends reflect some important differences.

{Insert Figure 1 about here}

Both certified individuals and entering teachers experience roughly the same decline in average academic ability from 1986 to 1999 – declining about 13 percent of a standard deviation of combined SAT scores. However, entering teachers hired in 2010 have combined SAT scores that are more than 27 percent of a standard deviation higher than those hired in 1999 compared to an increase of 9 percent of a standard deviation for the pool of individuals newly certified. These patterns suggest that the supply of candidates navigating licensure requirements improved meaningfully but that the demand for more academically-abled teachers exerted an even larger influence. Given that both the status of the teaching profession and student achievement are affected more by who enters teaching (rather than those certified but who fail to teach) and given that entering teachers had the much larger improvement in the academic ability, compared to those certified individuals, we focus our remaining analyses on understanding the dynamics of improvement for entering teachers.

The increases in the average relative scores of new teachers may mask important distributional changes. Recall that Bacolod (2007) finds that during the 1970s and 1980s the overall decline is primarily

---

6 The bump in average SAT scores for the 2005 and 2006 certification cohort reflects the unusually smaller size of these cohorts because the 2004 cohort is unusually large as individuals rushed into the teacher labor market just prior to the additional testing requirements (see appendix Table A1). By 2007, cohorts have returned to their pre-requirement-change size.

7 We find the same pattern if we consider trends in the raw SAT scores, providing further evidence compositional changes are not driving our results. The average raw scores of both certified individuals and entering teachers decrease by roughly a third of a standard deviation by 1999 (33 points to a score of 1003 and 33 points to a score of 999, respectively). Between 1999 and 2010, however, average scores for those certified increases 27 points to a score of 1030 but the average score for entering teachers increases 65 points to a score of 1064.

8 The average relative SAT scores for certified individuals and entering teachers in 2010 are statistically different from the average scores in 1999 and 1986 (p<.01). The within group differences between 1999 and 1986 are also statistically significant (p<.01). While the decline in SAT scores among entering teachers between 1986 and 1999 is not statistically different from the decline for certified individuals, SAT scores for entering teachers improved more between 1999 and 2010 than certified individuals (p<.01).
driven by decreases in the portion of teachers drawn from the top quintiles of ability. In NYS, as shown in Figure 2, the decrease in the average relative scores of entering teachers between 1986 and 1999 is driven by a nearly 7 percentage point increase in the share of teachers drawn from the bottom third of the SAT score distribution while the share from the top third remains a relatively constant 30 percent. After 1999, however, the proportion of entrants drawn from the bottom third decreases almost 7 percentage points and the share from the top third increases by over 13 percentage points to over 40 percent by 2010. This is a remarkable change and suggests the status of teaching as a career has increased.

{Insert Figure 2 about here}

We also find the selectivity of the undergraduate institutions attended by entering teachers increases between 1999 and 2005, the last cohort for which we have good information. The share of entering teachers who attended the most competitive undergraduate institutions increases 3.6 percentage points to 17 percent, and the share who attended competitive colleges increases 3.1 percentage points to 28 percent (appendix Figure A6). Over the same period, there are declines in the shares of entering teachers who attended less competitive colleges (down 4 percentage points to 46 percent) and non-competitive colleges (down 2.7 percentage points to 9 percent). There are also considerable increases in academic ability within these four collegiate groups with the percent of entering teachers coming from the top third of the SAT score distribution increasing (2 to 8 percentage points depending on competitiveness level) and the proportion from the bottom third decreasing (4 to 17 percentage points depending on competitiveness level) (appendix Table A2).9

4.2. Are the improvements widespread throughout or narrowly concentrated within the state?

9 Goldhaber and Walch find no changes in college selectivity.
The score increases shown in Figures 1 and 2 are yearly averages and do not provide information on how widespread the changes are across regions, subjects, and grades. We explore this variation below.

4.3. Regional Changes

We find directionally similar trends across all regions of the state, with more dramatic changes in some regions. Improvements among NYC teachers are larger and begin somewhat earlier than for the other 700 school districts in New York. Between 1999 and 2010 average SAT scores of individuals from NYC receiving certification increase by 18 percent of a standard deviation and those of individuals newly hired to teach in NYC improve by 49 percent of a standard deviation (appendix Figure A6). Here again, average quality of likely teacher applicants has improved, with schools becoming more selective, hiring relatively more academically able individuals. The nature of this improvement reflects a dramatic change in the position of NYC teachers in the overall SAT distribution.

In 1999, 43 percent of individuals hired to teach in NYC are drawn from the bottom third of the SAT distribution; by 2010, 24 percent are (Figure 3). In 1999, 21 percent of novice NYC teachers have SAT scores in the top third; by 2010 this figure increases to 40 percent. Most of this change occurs between 1999 and 2005. For example, all of the decline in the share of teachers drawn from the bottom third of the SAT distribution that occurs between 1999 and 2010 occurred by 2005 as well as 84 percent of the increase in the share coming from the top third of the distribution.

{Trends in teacher academic ability in the other school districts in NYS are, on average, a muted version of the transition that occurred in NYC, although the timing is delayed a bit. Outside of NYC average SAT scores continued to fall until 2004. From 2004 to 2010 the average combined SAT scores of new teachers improves by 18 percent of a standard deviation, a substantial increase but smaller than in NYC (appendix Figure A7). As indicated in Figure 4, 24 percent of new teachers in 2004 have SAT scores
that place them in the bottom third of all SAT takers; by 2010 that figure has fallen to 17 percent. Over
the same period the share of entering teachers from the top third has increased from 33 percent to 44
percent.

{Insert Figure 4 about here}

Further dividing schools outside NYC into city, suburb, town, and rural categories using the U.S.
Department of Education’s urban-centric locale codes (n.d.), we find very similar patterns—

improvements in entering teachers’ SAT scores of between 14 and 29 percent of a standard deviation
between 1999 and 2010 (appendix Figure A8). These results provide evidence that the phenomenon of
improving academic ability is prevalent across most districts.

4.4. Grade and Subject

The skills and subject-matter knowledge required to teach some hard-to-staff subjects such as
mathematics and science, particularly to high school students, are also in high demand in other
professions. Some would argue these higher opportunity costs combined with the stricter enter
requirements would cause teacher academic ability in these subjects to decrease relative to other
grades and subjects. We explore this possibility by examining the trends in academic ability of three
groups of teachers: elementary, hard-to-staff subjects (mathematics, science, special education, and
bilingual education), and other subjects and find similar patterns overall (appendix Table A3).

Improvements between 1999 and 2010 are more pronounced for entering teachers in hard-to-staff
subjects (25 percent of a standard deviation versus 23 and 17 percent for elementary teachers or
teachers of other subjects, respectively). Again, these patterns hold for teachers entering NYC and the
rest of the state (results available upon request).

4.5. School Poverty

A primary motivation for changes in policies pertaining to teacher quality has been the desire to
equalize teacher quality across student subpopulations, with success requiring greater improvements
among the novice teachers entering high poverty schools. To assess what the trends in academic ability signal as to the progress made toward this goal, we group NYS public schools into quintiles based on student poverty separately for elementary and middle and high schools.\textsuperscript{10} As shown in Figure 5, the academic ability of entering teachers improves for both rich and poor schools with greater improvements in schools enrolling relatively more poor students. The average SAT score of entering teachers in the poorest 20 percent of schools (top quintile) decreases 15 percent of a standard deviation, more than in the three least poor quintiles, but then increases 50 percent of a standard deviation by 2010, substantially more than any other quintile. In contrast, the average SAT score of entering teachers at the richest schools increases 32 percent of a standard deviation throughout the full period. After growing from 1986 to 1999, there is a striking reduction in the teacher academic ability gap between schools with more and fewer poor students so that between 2007 and 2010 it is 27 percent smaller than what it was between 1986 and 1989. Similar, but larger, changes characterize middle and high schools compared to elementary schools and for NYC schools compared to schools in the rest of the state (appendix Figure A9).

\textit{4.6. Teacher Race and Ethnicity}

Finally, we explore whether the overall improvements in teacher academic ability come at the expense of another goal – recruiting a more diverse teacher workforce. Non-white, non-Asian entering teachers grow from less than 16 percent of our sample in 1999 to 24 percent by 2010.\textsuperscript{11} NYS does not achieve these gains by hiring minority teachers with lower academic ability (appendix Table A3). In fact, the average SAT score of entering minority teachers increases 40 percent of a standard deviation between 1999 and 2010 compared to a 26 percent of a standard deviation increase among entering

\textsuperscript{10} Schools are grouped into quintiles using the average annual percent of the student body eligible for free or reduced-price lunch between 1994 and 2010.
\textsuperscript{11} Among all entering teachers (those with and without SAT scores), the percent black or Hispanic increases from 13 to 20 percent.
white and Asian teachers. The status of teaching as perceived by those choosing careers appears to be increasing for all races and ethnicities.

4.6. What may be driving these changes?

What accounts for this remarkable turnaround? We are not in a position to ascribe cause, but can generate hypotheses by examining the timing and nature of the changes in policies governing teacher qualifications and accountability pressure and the timing and nature of improvements in academic ability. As discussed above, the early reforms in NYS involved the creation of alternative preparation programs and the elimination of emergency licenses. For these reforms to have driven the turnaround, the effects should be larger in NYC than the rest of the state, because NYC relied heavily on emergency certified teachers and employs the majority of the state’s alternatively prepared teachers. And in fact, our results show the turnaround in teacher ability begins in NYC when the first alternative preparation program opens and then accelerates when emergency licenses are abolished. In the rest of the state, however, the turnaround does not begin until 2005, after the state’s certification testing requirements and the federal HQT provisions that emphasize subject matter mastery are put in place. The likely effect of the increased emphasis on content knowledge is also evidenced in the trends for elementary and hard-to-staff subject teachers. Whereas both begin to improve with the introduction of alternative preparation programs and the cancellation of emergency certificates, the average SAT scores of teachers of hard-to-staff subjects increases twice as much as the scores for elementary teachers between 2004 and 2010 (17 versus 8 percent of a standard deviation) who are arguably less affected by these requirements. Furthermore, the trends are not driven solely by the recruitment of teachers through the alternative preparation programs such as Teach for America. The average SAT score among entering teachers completing traditional preparation programs increases 17 percent of a standard deviation between 1999 and 2010 after declining 9 percentage points between 1986 and 1999 (appendix Table A3).
To bolster the evidence that teacher accountability policies drove the turnaround in the average academic ability of teachers, we examine and subsequently rule out the competing hypothesis that these trends could perhaps result from changes in the characteristics of the teacher labor market such as the size of the market or salaries. For example, as salaries increase, the quality of the teacher supply should increase. Similarly, if the market demands fewer teachers, schools should be better able to restrict their hiring to the higher end of the ability distribution. While these labor market changes (themselves influenced by the macroeconomic cycle and declines in enrollment) are likely behind some of the changes in academic ability, they are probably not the dominant driver. There are too many inconsistencies with the known recessions during this period. For example, there was a reduction in the hiring of new teachers in 1992 and 1993 following the 1991 recession, but there wasn’t a reduction following the 2001 recession. And while the 44 percent reduction in new teacher hiring in 2010 relative to 2009 contributes to the increase in academic ability in that year, the trend is present for almost a decade before.

We also test this hypothesis statistically. We estimate models predicting each of the trends presented in Figures 1 through 5 controlling for proxies for changes in the local labor market (i.e., the district’s enrollment, percentages of teachers by race/ethnicity, starting salaries, and number of inexperienced and experienced teachers hired plus district fixed effects). The regression-adjusted trends, however, while suggesting macroeconomic factors were largely responsible for the early declines in academic ability, continue to show marked improvement in teacher academic ability after 1999. By way of illustration, we show in Figure 6 the regression-adjusted trend in standardized SAT scores of entering teachers along with the unadjusted trend which is the same as shown in Figure 1. Changes in the teacher labor market explain very little of the increases in academic ability after 1999. We provide both a more thorough description of these models and the regression-adjusted version of the Figures 2-5 in the appendix (see Figures A11-A14). This provides evidence that the turnaround in the
ability of entering teachers is unlikely to be driven by changes in local labor market characteristics alone and is consistent with the timing of New York and U.S. policies that attempt to increase the qualifications of teachers.

{Insert Figure 6 about here}

5. Discussion

A variety of studies document a 30-year decline between the 1960s and 1990s in the academic abilities of entering public school teachers, which corresponded to diminished respect for teachers and for public schooling. This paper provides robust evidence of a reversal of these trends across NYS, an important state in which to examine these trends given its roughly 700 districts and its racial, economic, and geographic diversity. Our findings are consistent with the more limited national evidence provided by Goldhaber and Walch (2013), and thus lend support to the generalizability of our findings. Since about 1999 the academic ability of teachers has improved and in many cases improved dramatically. Our evidence suggests gains in the academic ability of individuals certified to teach (+9 percent of a standard deviation) but especially strong gains among entering teachers (+27 percent of a standard deviation). These gains have occurred across the entire state and particularly in high poverty schools such that differences in the academic abilities of teachers hired at low and high poverty schools narrowed substantially. Entering teachers in NYS also have been increasingly drawn from more competitive undergraduate institutions, another measure of academic ability. Teaching is attracting more able applicants, and public schools are hiring among the most academically able of these applicants.

Our results suggest that a package of policies most likely led to these improvements. The differences in the timing and magnitude of the gains across regions of the state, grade and subject, and school poverty levels are consistent with the increased policy scrutiny on teacher quality at the federal
level through the HQT provision of NCLB, at the state level through teacher licensure and teacher preparation requirements, and at the local level through hiring policies and practices. The results also demonstrate a key role for the state’s traditional teacher preparation programs which trained and graduated more academically abled teachers as well as provided the classroom-based training for the state’s alternative preparation programs. Although space constraints do not permit a full treatment of the retention of these more academically able teachers, the fact that they are not all being recruited through alternative preparation programs such as Teach for America suggests they are not all leaving after a couple of years in the classroom.

While proposals to increase the status of the teaching profession call for reform in several important areas in addition to more rigorous standards for teacher training and licensure like those implemented in NYS, the increase in the academic ability of entering teachers is a strong signal that the status of the teaching profession is increasing. Academic ability speaks to two of the three dimensions of status—occupational prestige and esteem (Hoyle, 2001). When teachers are increasingly drawn from the lower end of the SAT distribution, as was true during most of the 1990s, teaching’s occupational prestige diminishes as the public loses respect for teachers, teaching, and public education. The sustained decline in the academic ability of teachers from the 1960s through the 1990s likely contributed to a message that able individuals should not seek out a career in public education, further perpetuating this decline. Since 2000, however, individuals choosing careers are receiving a different message with more academically able individuals choosing to enter teaching rather than another highly regarded profession. The increased academic ability of entering teachers also indicates teaching’s occupational esteem is on the rise to the extent academic ability positively correlates to classroom effectiveness (Boyd et al., 2008; Clotfelter et al., 2007) and thus the public’s perception of teacher’s job performance. The reversal of the trends in academic ability over the last decade signals a resurgence of
interest in teaching in public schools as a respected and worthy career and the rising status of the teaching profession.
References


Clotfleter, Charles, T., Ladd, Helen, F., & Vigdor, Jacob (2007). Teacher credentials and student achievement: Longitudinal analysis with student fixed effects. Economics of Education Review, 26, 673-682.


FIGURE 1. Averaged standardized combined SAT score of certified individuals and entering teachers by certification cohort, 1986 to 2010
FIGURE 2. Percent of entering teachers drawn from the bottom, middle, and top thirds of the statewide score distribution by certification cohort, 1986 to 2010
FIGURE 3. Percent of entering teachers in New York City schools drawn from the bottom, middle, and top thirds of the statewide score distribution by certification cohort, 1986 to 2010
FIGURE 4. Percent of entering teachers in non-New York City schools drawn from the bottom, middle, and top thirds of the statewide score distribution by certification cohort, 1986 to 2010
FIGURE 5. Averaged standardized combined SAT score of entering teachers by school poverty level and certification cohort, 1986 to 2010
FIGURE 6. Unadjusted and regression-adjusted averaged standardized combined SAT score of entering teachers by certification cohort, 1986 to 2010
Appendix: Supplemental Material

Analytic Sample

Our benchmark population consists of all public high school students in New York who took the SAT between 1979-80 and 2007-08. Although, the number of students taking the exam increased by almost 7 thousand students (roughly 0.3 percentage points annually) over this period, we find no significant compositional changes in this population that might complicate the interpretation of the results. There is little movement in the annual mean combined scores (verbal plus math) (Figure A1). The annual means bounce around the overall mean score of 1001 in no clear pattern and never deviate more than 11 points, or 5 percent of the overall standard deviation. One noticeable feature of the trend is the 14 point decline in the mean score between 2005 and 2007 that coincided with the introduction of the writing test. Very few of individuals from these cohorts become certified and placed as teachers during our observation period. The standard deviation of scores increases slightly, about 5 percent, with almost all that increase occurring in the early years.
And although the spread has increased, the additional spread is fairly even around the mean (Figure A2). The average score in the bottom third of the distribution decreases about 15 points around a mean of 764. The average score in the top third of the distribution increases about 14 points around a mean of 1245.
Our analysis focuses on the labor markets for entry-level teachers in NYS between 1986 and 2010. We focus on individuals granted their first entry-level NYS teaching certificate and all first-time employed teachers in any of the state’s public schools. Between 1986 and 2010, the annual number of individuals initially certified to teach increases more than 150 percent from just under eight to just over 20 thousand, with two noticeable discontinuities in this trend: 1992 when separate certification programs in NYC and Buffalo school systems are eliminated and replaced by the NYS system and 2004 when several certification requirements are changed including the addition of a third certification exam. The number of entering teachers in NYS public schools increases rather steadily from almost 9.5 thousand teachers in 1986 to more than 15 thousand in 2008, the last year before the Great Recession. Just two years later hiring levels plummet more than 50 percent to 7.5 thousand, 21 percent below their
The impact of the recession of the early 1990s on hiring can be seen in the 24 percent reduction in hires for 1992 relative to 1991.

In order to explore trends in the academic ability of this population, as measured by SAT scores, we reorganize this population into certification cohorts based on when they are first certified (or the year before they were placed in the classroom for teachers with no observed initial certificate). The coverage of SATs among this population increases over the period. We observe SAT scores for at least 40 percent of the certified individuals and entering teacher subpopulations beginning with the 1990 cohort and at least 50 percent for 13 cohorts of certified individuals and 16 cohorts of entering teachers.

We pull an analytic sample from this population that consists of those individuals with observed SAT scores from a public high school within NYS (Table A1). The share of the population drawn into our sample increases from 29 percent of the 1986 cohort to 48 percent of the 2010 cohort, peaking at 56 percent in 2006 (column three).
SAT scores are missing for three categories of individuals: i) those enrolled in NYS private schools and taking the SAT in 2002 or later, ii) those taking the test outside of NYS, most likely in a neighboring state – Vermont, Massachusetts, Connecticut, New Jersey, or Pennsylvania, and iii) persons not taking the SAT. With such missing scores it is important to consider the question of external validity: do the trends in the academic abilities of those for whom we have SAT scores reflect the unobserved...
overall trends for all entering teachers, all individuals certified, and their high-school peers? We consider
the three categories in turn.

Scores of SAT-takers enrolled in NYS private schools are missing post 2001 – individuals most of
whom did not graduate from college until 2005 or later. This would be problematic if the gap in
academic abilities between entering teachers and their high-school peers were smaller or reversed for
those attending NYS private schools than for those attending public schools. Under this scenario,
including SAT scores for such individuals taking the SAT prior to 2002 but not after, due to scores being
missing, would result in the trends in SAT scores of all of those for whom we have scores overstating the
relative improvement in the academic abilities of all entering teachers and all those certified, after
approximately 2005. We avoid any problem associated with the SAT coverage of those attending NYS
private schools by excluding them from our analysis.

Over the years for which we have SAT scores for all NYS students, roughly 16 percent of certified
individuals and entering teachers attended private schools when taking the SAT. We assess the impact
of this exclusion by rerunning our main analysis using the sample of all NYS students taking the SAT
between 1980 and 2001. (We continue to not be concerned about compositional change in test-takers
over this period with distributional changes over time closely mirroring those in our analytic sample. The
annual means bounce around the overall mean score of 1000 in no clear pattern and never deviates
more than 9 points.) The results suggest we are underestimating the change in academic abilities of
certified individuals and entering teachers. Average relative academic ability among both certified
individuals and entering teachers decreased 3 and 3.5 percent of a standard deviation more,
respectively, by 1999 compared to our main results (comparing Figure A3 to Figure 1). Ability then
increases half a percent of a standard deviation more by 2006 when public and private test-takers are
included, compared to public test-takers alone. We find similar differences in trends between the two
samples when examining the share of entering teachers from each tertile of the SAT score distribution.
Prior to 1999, there is a larger increase in the share drawn from the bottom third of the distribution (1.6 percentage points) and a larger decrease in the share drawn from the top third of the distribution (2.2 percentage points) (comparing Figure A4 to Figure 2). After 1999, there is a larger decrease in the share recruited from the bottom of the distribution (1 percentage point) but also a smaller increase in the proportion from the top of the distribution (1 percentage point).

FIGURE A3. Averaged standardized combined SAT score of certified individuals and entering teachers from public and private NYS schools by certification cohort, 1986 to 2006
FIGURE A4. Percent of entering teachers drawn from the bottom, middle, and top thirds of the statewide public and private school score distribution by certification cohort, 1986 to 2006

Next we consider SAT scores missing for those who took the SAT outside NYS or took another entrance exam in lieu of the SAT. This would be problematic if the trends in the relative academic performance of certified individuals, entering teachers and their high-school peers taking the SAT outside NYS or taking another entrance exam meaningfully differed from trends for the respective groups taking the SAT in NYS. We see no reason why this is likely to be the case, but cannot rule out the possibility. We also are unable to directly assess trends in the relative academic abilities of those certified and those entering teaching who did not take any college entrance exam. The bulk of college graduates not taking an entrance exam likely enrolled in the City University of New York system as it did not require entrance exam scores prior to the late 1990s. While it is possible that this change in policy could contribute somewhat to the trends we observed for NYC, we can rule out any substantive effect.
outside NYC as most individuals take teaching jobs quite close to where they attended high school and college (Boyd, Lankford, Loeb, & Wyckoff, 2005).

Even though we cannot carry out a direct empirical assessment of the effects of not observing SAT scores for those who took the SAT outside NYS or did not take the SAT, as we did for NYS students attending private schools, a rather revealing indirect analysis is possible. In particular, we explore the trends using an alternative measure of academic ability – the selectivity of the colleges attended by those certified to teach and those entering teaching. This is useful because our college-selectivity measure has substantially fewer missing values (less than 12 percent of observations between 1986 and 2005) and a pattern of missing data that is quite different from that for SAT scores. (We trace trends through 2005 because the NYS changed their data collection procedures such that we do not observe the undergraduate institution attended by over 50 percent of the population of certified individuals and entering teachers for the 2006 cohort and later.) In particular, we have data on college selectivity for 85 percent of observations having missing SAT scores. Not only is college selectivity a direct measure of academic ability, it is also a useful proxy for missing SAT scores. For example, among individuals in our data with both SAT scores and college-selectivity observed the mean SAT scores for those who attended most competitive and competitive institutions were 0.71 and 0.22 percent of a standard deviation above the average score, respectively, while mean SAT scores for those who attended the less competitive and not competitive institutions were 8 and 36 percent of a standard deviation below the average score. Regressing SAT scores on dummies reflecting the four selectivity categories, we find that these measures alone explain 15 percent of the total variation in SAT scores. Thus, comparing trends in college selectivity can be quite informative in assessing whether the trends in the academic abilities of those observations having SAT scores differ from those missing SAT scores for any reason.

As shown in Figure A5, the trends for the two groups of entering teachers are remarkably similar through 2002, after which the increase in the selectivity of the colleges entering teachers attended was
meaningfully greater for those having missing SAT scores. The trends for those certified are similar (results available upon request). We view this as compelling evidence that our analysis of trends in SAT scores, somewhat underestimates the relative gains in the academic ability of all individuals certified and all those entering teaching.
FIGURE A5. Percent of entering teachers by competitiveness of undergraduate institution attended among those with and without observed SAT scores by certification cohort, 1986 to 2005
Additional Results

How has the academic ability of entering teachers changed?

SAT scores are correlated with the competitiveness of undergraduate institutions individuals attend. At the same time average standardized SAT scores of entering teachers are increasing, entering teachers are also being drawn from more selective colleges and universities (Figure A6).

FIGURE A6. Percent of entering teachers by competitiveness of undergraduate attended by certification cohort, 1999 to 2005

These overall trends mask increases in SAT scores within each level of competitiveness. The share of entering teachers drawn from the top third of the SAT score distribution increase in each level of competitiveness and the share drawn from the bottom third decrease in each (Table A2).
Table A2

Percent of Entering Teachers Drawn from the Bottom, Middle, and Top Thirds of the Statewide Score Distribution by Competitiveness of Undergraduate Institution Attended and Certification Cohort, 1999 to 2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Certification Cohort</th>
<th>1999</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Most Competitive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom third</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle third</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top third</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>70.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competitive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom third</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle third</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>45.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top third</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>40.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Competitive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom third</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle third</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>52.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top third</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Competitive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom third</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>34.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle third</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>45.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top third</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Are the improvements widespread throughout or narrowly concentrated within the state?

Statewide the average academic ability of certified individuals and entering teachers increases meaningfully after 1999 following a long period of decline. The trends presented in the next two figures show the improvement in academic ability that occurs both in NYC (Figure A7) and the rest of the state (Figure A8). As we have done elsewhere, we group entering teachers by the school in which they are first employed. Certified individuals are grouped by the high school they attended when they took the SAT. In Figure A9, we further subdivide entering teachers at schools outside NYC into four regions – city, suburb, town, and rural – and show the turnaround occurs across regions.
FIGURE A7. Averaged standardized combined SAT score of certified individuals from and entering teachers in New York City by certification cohort, 1986 to 2010
FIGURE A8. Averaged standardized combined SAT score of certified individuals from and entering teachers in schools outside New York City by certification cohort, 1986 to 2010
In Table A3 we provide the average SAT scores for various subgroups of teachers. To explore whether the turnaround in the academic ability of entering teachers is concentrated among specific race/ethnicities and subjects taught. We also provide the averages for teachers completing traditional preparation programs. We find the same general pattern – decline then increase – among teachers entering belonging to all these subgroups.
Table A3
Average Standardized SAT Scores for Various Subgroups of Entering Teachers by Certification Cohort, 1986 to 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cohort</th>
<th>Subject Area Taught</th>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>College Recommended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elementary</td>
<td>Hard-to-Staff*</td>
<td>All Others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>0.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>-0.040</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>0.151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>-0.040</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>-0.048</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>0.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>-0.056</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>-0.100</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>-0.055</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>-0.075</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>-0.108</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>-0.095</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>-0.119</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>-0.099</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>-0.147</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>-0.119</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>-0.114</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>-0.056</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>-0.051</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>0.176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>-0.062</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>0.341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>-0.017</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>0.236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>-0.036</td>
<td>0.257</td>
<td>0.289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>-0.024</td>
<td>0.261</td>
<td>0.260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.360</td>
<td>0.306</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Hard-to-staff subjects are mathematics, science, special education, and English as Second Language/bilingual education

In Figure 5 in the text, we show how the difference in the average academic ability of teachers between those placed in the richest and poorest schools narrows after 1999 following a period over which it widens. We show the trends in Figure A10 separately for entering elementary teachers statewide, entering middle and high school teachers statewide, entering teachers in NYC schools, and entering teachers in schools outside NYC.
FIGURE A10. Averaged standardized combined SAT score of entering elementary and middle and high school teachers and teachers in NYC and non-NYC schools by school poverty level and certification cohort, 1986 to 2010
Exploring the role of labor market characteristics
To investigate whether the turnaround in average teacher academic ability shown in Figure 1 in the text is driven by changes in the local labor market (defined as the school district in which the entering teachers’ are first employed), we estimate the following regression to obtain regression adjusted trends in entering teachers’ standardized SAT scores:

$$SAT_{icd} = \tau_c + \beta_1 \ln(\text{Total\_Enrollment})_{d(t-1)} + \beta_2 (\% \text{ American Indian})_{d(t-1)} + \beta_3 (\% \text{ Black})_{d(t-1)}$$

$$+ \beta_4 (\% \text{ Asian})_{d(t-1)} + \beta_5 (\% \text{ Hispanic})_{d(t-1)} + \beta_6 (\text{Mean\_Salary})_{d(t-1)}$$

$$+ \beta_7 (\# \text{ Inexperienced Hires})_{d(t-1)} + \beta_8 (\# \text{ Experienced Hires})_{d(t-1)} + \gamma_{icd} + \alpha_d$$

$$+ \varepsilon_{icd}$$

where $SAT_{icd}$ is the combined SAT scored, standardized within year, for individual $i$ in certification cohort $c$ working in district $d$. The main coefficients of interest are, $\tau_c$, a vector of cohort fixed-effects which capture the regression adjusted mean standardized combined SAT scores for entering teachers certified in year $c$. The regression controls for the entering teachers’ districts’ natural log of enrollment; the districts’ percent of American Indian, Black, Asian, and Hispanic students with White students as the holdout group; the districts’ mean salary for teachers with a Bachelor’s degree and at most three years of experience; and the number of inexperienced and experienced teachers hired. We also include a set of district fixed-effects to account for any time-invariant differences among districts that could affect the local teacher labor market (e.g., geographic preferences). The local labor market conditions included as predictors are pulled from the year prior to entry.

Lastly, we include a set of time-to-certification fixed-effects, $\gamma_{icd}$, which capture the amount of time between when an individual completed the SAT and obtained a certification to teach in New York. Typically the amount of time between taking the SAT and obtaining a certification is five years. If individuals with higher SAT scores obtain certification shortly after graduating from college, then the positive trends the 2000s may be biased downwards from our left-censored data. For example, we only
observe the teachers in the 1986 certification cohort who completed the SAT in 1980 or 1981; however, we observe teachers in the 2010 certification cohort who completed the SAT between 1980 and 2005. The time-to-certification fixed-effects therefore compare teachers with the same time gap between the SAT and obtaining a teacher certification (e.g., 6 years) regardless of their certification cohort (e.g., 1989 versus 2010). In an alternative specification, we estimate the above model only for those who took between five and seven years after the SAT to obtain a teaching certification. The results are very similar and available upon request.

To conduct the same test of the trends shown in Figures 2 through 4, we convert this model to a multinomial logistic regression model to generate regression adjusted predicted probabilities for each individual. Specifically, we use as our new dependent variable a categorical variable that takes a “1” if the entering teacher is in the bottom tertile of the within-year SAT distribution, a “2” if the entering teacher is in the middle tertile of the within-year SAT distribution, and a “3” if the entering teacher is in the top tertile of the within-year SAT distribution. The estimates of interest in this model are the yearly average predicted probabilities that a given teacher is drawn from one of three SAT tertiles with the independent variables held at their means.

As shown in Figures A1 through A14, the findings reported in the paper continue to hold when differences in labor market fundamentals across cohorts are taken into account.
FIGURE A11. Predicted probability of entering teachers being drawn from the bottom, middle, and top thirds of the statewide score distribution by certification cohort, 1986 to 2010
FIGURE A12. Predicted probability of entering teachers in New York City being drawn from the bottom, middle, and top thirds of the statewide score distribution by certification cohort, 1986 to 2010
FIGURE A13. Predicted probability of entering teachers in the rest of the state being drawn from the bottom, middle, and top thirds of the statewide score distribution by certification cohort, 1986 to 2010
Regression-adjusted averaged standardized combined SAT score of entering teachers by school poverty level and certification cohort, 1986 to 2010

FIGURE A14.
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